a cutting assembly (7) spaced apart from the pair of rollers,

a second motor (9) driving the cutting assembly to cut,

a third motor (5) pivoting one of the cutting assembly and the pair of rollers from time to time to align said cutting assembly (7) and one of said boundary marks (M),

a reading system having first and second spaced apart optical sensors (4, 4') that detect one of the boundary marks (M) between the images, and

a microprocessor (12) in communication with said reading system and the second motor (9) and the third motor (5), the microprocessor (12) processing a signal from the reading system, recognizing the boundary marks (M) and controlling the second and third motors (9, 5),

wherein each of the boundary marks (M) is a preset sequence, stored in said microprocessor (12), of white and black lines extending at least along a whole edge of each of said images (10) oriented at right angles to a feed direction of the substrate.--

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 8 are currently pending in the application, as amended. Claim 1 has been amended to recite as an additional limitation that the microprocessor "recognizes the boundary remarks (M)." This limitation is disclosed in the specification, see page 5, lines 8-32. Accordingly, no new matter has been added. Additionally, claim 1 has been amended to overcome a rejection for indefiniteness.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Examiner contends that in claim 1, line 4 the invention is being positively defined in terms of the workpiece which is not part of the invention. The Examiner has suggested reinserting --for-- before "feeding". Applicant has amended claim 1 in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion and respectfully requests that the rejection of independent claim 1 and claims 2 and 8 depending therefrom be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §102/103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No.